	Case 1:23-cv-00526-KES-SAB	Document 45	Filed 01/23/25	Page 1 of 2				
1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
10								
11	LAWRENCE CHRISTOPHER S	MITH, No	o. 1:23-cv-00526-k	XES-SAB (PC)				
12	Plaintiff,		RDER DENYING I OR EXPEDITED R	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION				
13	v.			EVIEW				
14	SECRETARY, et al.,	(E	CF No. 44)					
15	Defendants.							
16	-							
17	Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action filed pursuant to 42							
18	U.S.C. § 1983.							
19	On December 6, 2024, Plaintiff filed a third amended complaint. (ECF No. 42.) On							
20	December 9, 2024, Plaintiff filed a declaration. (ECF No. 43.)							
21	On December 23, 2024, Plaintiff filed a "Motion For Expedited Hearing Judicial Code §							
22	1657," pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1657. ¹ (ECF No. 44.) Because Plaintiff's third amended							
23	complaint is pending screening pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, the Court construes Plaintiff's							
24	motion to be a request for expedited screening. As Plaintiff was advised in the First							
25	Informational Order, "[t]his Court screens pro se plaintiff's complaints as expeditiously as							
26								
27	¹ Section 1657(a) of title 28 of the United States Code, states that the "court shall expedite the consideration of any action for temporary or preliminary injunctive relief." While Plaintiff may vague reference to injunctive relief as a part of relief in his third amended complaint, the Court does not find good cause for expedite consideration of his pending civil rights complaint. Indeed, to date, Plaintiff has failed to state a cognizable claim relief.							
28								
		1						

	Case 1:23-cv-00526-KES-SAB Docu	ument 45	Filed 01/23/25	Page 2 of 2					
1	possible. However, the Court has an extremely large number of pro se plaintiff civil rights cases								
2	pending before it, and delay is inevitable. As long as a party keeps the Court informed of the								
3	party's current address, the Court will provide notice of all actions which might affect the case as								
4	soon as an action is taken in the case." (ECF No. 29, Order at III (C).) The Court is aware of								
5	Plaintiff's third amended complaint and will screen the complaint in due course. Accordingly,								
6	Plaintiff's motion to expedite the screening of his complaint is DENIED.								
7									
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.		End X	B					
9	Dated: January 23, 2025		ANLEY A. BOON	E					
10			ited States Magistr						
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									